

Banach strong Novikov conjecture for polynomially contractible groups

Alexander Engel

*Department of Mathematics
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843, USA
engel@math.tamu.edu*

Abstract

We prove the Banach strong Novikov conjecture for groups having polynomially bounded higher-order combinatorial functions. This includes all automatic groups.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Relation to results by other mathematicians	4
1.2	Related open questions and problems	5
1.3	Idea of the proof of the main theorem	5
2	Quasi-local completions of group rings	7
2.1	Kernel estimates	10
2.2	Banach space completions	11
3	Combinatorics of groups and polynomial contractibility	12
3.1	Higher-order combinatorial functions à la Ji–Ramsey	12
3.2	Higher-order combinatorial functions à la Riley	13
3.3	Combings of groups and asymptotic cones	14
4	Semi-norms on uniformly finite homology	16
5	Character maps for group rings and the main diagram	19
5.1	The character map χ and its continuity	20
	References	21

Acknowledgements The author was supported by the SFB 1085 “Higher Invariants” and the Research Fellowship EN 1163/1-1 “Mapping Analysis to Homology”, both funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG.

The author is grateful to Cornelia Druţu, Bogdan Nica, Tim Riley and Guoliang Yu for answering a lot of questions. He thanks Süleyman Kağan Samurkaş for pointing out a mistake in a first version of this article.

1 Introduction

Let G be a discrete and countable group.

Main Theorem I (finite dimensional case, $d := \text{hd}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) < \infty$) *Let G be of type F_{d+1} and let it be polynomially contractible up to order d .*

Then the Banach strong Novikov conjecture holds for G with exponent $p = d + 2/d + 1$, i.e., the analytic assembly map $RK_*(BG) \rightarrow K_*(B_r^p G)$ is rationally injective, where $B_r^p G$ denotes the closure of $\mathbb{C}G \subset \mathfrak{B}(\ell^p G)$.

Main Theorem II *Let the group G be of type F_{∞} and let it be polynomially contractible.*

1. *Then the Bost assembly map $RK_*(BG) \rightarrow K_*(\ell^1 G)$ is rationally injective.*
2. *If G also has Property (RD), then the strong Novikov conjecture holds for G , i.e., the analytic assembly map $RK_*(BG) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^* G)$ is rationally injective.*

Definitions Recall that for a countable and discrete group G its classifying space BG is, up to homotopy equivalence, uniquely determined by requiring that $\pi_1(BG) \cong G$ and that the universal cover of BG is contractible.

The group G is called of type F_N if it admits a CW-complex model for BG which consists of finitely many cells in each dimension up to dimension N . This is equivalent to admitting a model for BG which is a simplicial complex and consists of finitely many simplices of each dimension up to dimension N . A group is of type F_{∞} , if it admits a model for BG consisting of finitely many cells (resp., simplices) in each dimension.

Due to several competing definitions of higher-order combinatorial functions and since our proofs work with either choice of definition, we will give two variants for the notion of polynomial contractibility (concrete definitions will be given in Section 3):


1. We call a group, which is of type F_{N+1} , polynomially contractible up to order N , if its higher-order two-variable isoperimetric and isodiametric functions $\delta^{(n)}(-, -)$ and $\eta^{(n)}(-, -)$ in the sense of Riley [Ril03] are polynomially bounded for all $n \leq N$.
A group of type F_{∞} is called polynomially contractible, if it is polynomially contractible up to order N for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. The variant of the definition of polynomial contractibility is to use the higher-order Dehn functions $d^n(-)$ as defined by Ji–Ramsey [JR09].

The class of polynomially contractible groups Let us summarize which other classes of groups the class of polynomially contractible groups encompasses.

We assume in the following diagram that all groups are finitely generated, and then it follows automatically for these groups that they will be of type F_∞ : for groups with contractible asymptotic cones this was proven by Riley [Ril03, Theorem D] and for the combable groups by Alonso [Alo92, Theorem 2].

In Section 3 we will give references, resp., (ideas of) proofs for many of the implication arrows in the following diagram. We will also discuss in Section 3 the definitions of most of the occurring notions.

The equivalence of polynomial contractibility to polynomially bounded cohomology will be discussed in the next paragraph. Note that by the notion “polynomially bounded cohomology” we mean here that we have polynomial bounds for all coefficient modules, and not only coefficients $\mathbb{C}$ as this notion is used by Connes–Moscovici [CM90].



To the knowledge of the author, up to now the Banach strong Novikov conjecture was not known for the class of automatic groups. This is a rich class of groups and contains, e.g., the following other classes of groups (we give in parentheses references for the proofs that the corresponding groups are indeed automatic):

- hyperbolic groups (see, e.g., [ECH⁺92, Theorem 3.4.5]),
- Coxeter groups (Brink–Howlett [BH93]),
- Artin groups of finite type (Charney [Cha92]),
- systolic groups (Januszkiewicz–Świątkowski [JŚ06, Theorem E]), and
- mapping class groups (Mosher [Mos95], Hamenstädt [Ham09]).

Relation to polynomially bounded cohomology Both Meyer [Mey06] and Ogle [Ogl05] proved that if a group is polynomially combable, then it will have polynomially bounded cohomology. More generally, Ogle (in loc. cit.) proves that a group has polynomially bounded cohomology if its higher-order Dehn functions are polynomially bounded.

Ogle uses a different definition for the higher-order Dehn functions than we do in this paper. Ji–Ramsey [JR09] introduce the definition for higher-order Dehn functions that

we use, and then they show that if all higher-order Dehn functions (in their definition) are polynomially bounded, then this also holds for Ogle’s version of higher-order Dehn functions, and vice versa. And this in turn, as Ji–Ramsey show, is equivalent to Gersten’s version of higher-order Dehn functions being polynomially bounded [Ger95].

The main result of Ji–Ramsey is then that a group of type F_∞ has polynomially bounded higher-order Dehn functions if and only if it has polynomially bounded cohomology for all coefficient modules.

So the equivalence in Diagram (1.1) holds only for the version of polynomial contractibility that uses the higher-order Dehn functions of Ji–Ramsey. But morally different notions of higher-order combinatorial functions should be comparable. So can one prove that having polynomially bounded cohomology for all coefficients is equivalent to polynomial contractibility using Riley’s higher-order functions?

1.1 Relation to results by other mathematicians

Being one of the most important conjectures in geometry and topology of manifolds, the strong Novikov conjecture received of course a lot of attention in the last few decades. The Banach version of the strong Novikov conjecture did not get much attention at all, mainly because it has no known implication to the geometry and topology of manifold. Let us relate in this section the results of the present paper to some of the earlier results obtained by other mathematicians.

Relation to the result of Connes–Moscovici The strong Novikov conjecture was proven by Connes–Moscovici [CM90] for groups having Property (RD) and polynomially bounded cohomology (for coefficients $\mathbb{C}$). The main example of groups having these two properties are hyperbolic groups.

From the discussion in the previous section it follows that, very roughly¹, one might say that the main work of this paper is to lift from the result of Connes–Moscovici the assumption that the group must have Property (RD). But to still be able to deduce a strong Novikov type statement, we must in exchange pass from the case $p = 2$ to the case $1 < p < 2$ on the right hand side of the assembly map. In the presence of Property (RD) we recover Connes–Moscovici’s original result.

The question arises for which groups the K -theories of $B_r^p G$ and of $C_r^* G$ are isomorphic. This is known for amenable groups and for hyperbolic groups by (still unpublished) work of Kasparov–Yu [KY]. We will discuss this in greater detail in Remark 2.13.

Referring to Chatterji’s overview article [Cha16] about Property (RD), the following groups from Diagram (1.1) are known to enjoy it: virtually nilpotent, hyperbolic, Coxeter, CAT(0) cubical, and mapping class groups (Sapir [Sap15] also wrote a nice overview article about it). To the knowledge of the author there seems to be no relation between having contractible asymptotic cones and having Property (RD). In fact, maybe we can even find automatic groups without the rapid decay property?

¹That is to say, ignoring the difference between having polynomially bounded cohomology for all coefficients or only for coefficients $\mathbb{C}$.

Relation to Yu's work Yu proved the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture for groups which coarsely embed into a Hilbert space [Yu00]. This implies by the descent principle the strong Novikov conjecture for such groups, provided they admit a finite classifying space. Later Skandalis–Tu–Yu proved injectivity of the Baum–Connes assembly map with any coefficients for all coarsely embeddable groups [STY02].

From the classes of groups that we discussed in (1.1) the virtually nilpotent groups and most of the automatic groups are known to be coarsely embeddable. But for the class of automatic groups themselves, to the knowledge of the author, this is currently not known. Although for automatic groups one might conjecture that they should be coarsely embeddable, it seems to the author unlikely that, say, groups having contractible asymptotic cones should enjoy this property.

1.2 Related open questions and problems

Let us quickly collect some of the open questions and problems that we have addressed in our discussion above, and which the author thinks are important:

1. Are both versions of polynomial contractibility equivalent, i.e., can one prove that a group of type F_∞ has polynomially bounded higher-order two-variable isoperimetric and isodiametric functions in the sense of Riley if and only if it has polynomially bounded higher-order Dehn functions in the sense of Ji–Ramsey?
2. Do there exist automatic groups without Property (RD)?
3. Do there exist Property (RD) groups which are not polynomially contractible?
4. Are automatic groups coarsely embeddable into a Hilbert space?
5. Can one construct quasi-geodesically combable groups which do not admit coarse embeddings into a Hilbert space?
6. For which groups is $K_*(B_r^p G)$ independent of $p \in (1, \infty)$?

A positive answer in the case of polynomially contractible groups would prove the strong Novikov conjecture for these groups by the results in this paper.

1.3 Idea of the proof of the main theorem

Outline of the general argument Since the Banach strong Novikov conjecture only asks about rational injectivity, the idea is to pass via Chern characters to homology theories (since the ordinary topological Chern character is rationally an isomorphism), and then hope that the corresponding problem on homology groups is easier to attack.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
RK_*(BG) & \xrightarrow{\mu^{\text{alg}}} & K_*^{\text{alg}}(SG) & \longrightarrow & K_*(B_r^p G) \\
\downarrow \text{ch}_n & & \downarrow \text{tr} \circ \text{ch}_n^{SG} & & \downarrow \\
& & HC_n(\mathbb{C}G) & \longrightarrow & HC_n^{\text{cont}}(B_{\text{pol}}^p G) \\
& & \downarrow \chi_n & & \downarrow \text{dotted} \\
H_n(BG) & \longrightarrow & H_n^{\text{uf},G}(G) & \longrightarrow & H_n^{\text{pol},G}(G)
\end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

We will discuss the above diagram in more detail in Section 5. The Chern character $\text{ch}_n: RK_*(BG) \rightarrow H_n(BG)$ is rationally injective. So rational injectivity of the assembly map (on classes that map to degree n classes in homology) will follow from injectivity of the map $H_n(BG) \rightarrow H_n^{\text{pol},G}(G)$. One of the main steps in the proof of the main theorem is therefore to show that for polynomially contractible groups this map is injective. We will even prove that in this case it is an isomorphism, see Corollary 4.4.

Another step in the proof of the main theorem is to actually construct the diagram. Let us highlight here first the dense subalgebra $B_{\text{pol}}^p G \subset B_r^p G$, which will be introduced in Section 2. Its main technical property is that it is closed under holomorphic functional calculus (see Propositions 2.6 and 2.11) and therefore has the same K -theory as $B_r^p G$. The main analytical part of our proof is to derive good kernel estimates for operators from this new subalgebra, see Section 2.1 and Lemma 2.12. For example, we will prove that $B_{\text{pol}}^p G$ is continuously included in the ℓ^p -space of rapidly decreasing functions.

It is a crucial ingredient to show that the map χ_n is continuous and so extends to the dotted map in the diagram. The homology groups $H_n^{\text{pol},G}(G)$ are defined by completing the chain complex $C_{\bullet}^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ under a suitable family of norms (Definition 4.2). Now on the one hand we want these norms to be as large as possible so that it is easier to show that the map $H_n(BG) \rightarrow H_n^{\text{pol},G}(G)$ is bounded from below (from which we will deduce that it is actually an isomorphism). But on the other hand, we want these norms on $C_{\bullet}^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ to be as small as possible so that it is easier for us to show that the map χ_n is continuous. We were able to counterpoise this in the case of polynomially contractible groups, but only by passing to a certain exponent p with $1 < p < 2$.

Similar diagrams like (1.2), resp., the corresponding underlying idea were already considered by other mathematicians. One can divide the left square into two by introducing the corresponding assembly map for periodic cyclic homology [EM16, Remark 2.9]. The resulting upper left square was investigated by Cortiñas–Tartaglia [CT14]. Similar diagrams were also considered by Ji–Ramsey [JR07, Page 38] and one can even argue that this goes back to Connes–Moscovici [CM90, Theorem 5.4]. The idea to map the assembly map to homology was also already employed by Yu [Yu17], where he showed that the algebraic Baum–Connes assembly map is always rationally injective. The computation of the homology of group rings was carried out by Burghlea [Bur85], and the corresponding computations of homology groups in relation to the isomorphism conjectures were done by Lück–Reich [LR06, Theorem 0.7].

2 Quasi-local completions of group rings

This section contains the analytical meat of our argument. We will first introduce and discuss the subalgebra $C_{\text{pol}}^*G \subset C_r^*G$, and then derive in Section 2.1 important kernel estimates for operators from C_{pol}^*G .

Let G be any countable, discrete group and denote by $\mathbb{C}G$ the complex group ring. Recall that the reduced group C^* -algebra C_r^*G is the closure of $\mathbb{C}G \subset \mathfrak{B}(\ell^2 G)$.

Equip G with any proper, left-invariant metric. We will denote the resulting metric space also by G . Note that any other proper, left-invariant metric on G results in a space which is coarsely equivalent to the previous one. In fact, the identity map will be in this case a coarse equivalence.

Recall that an operator $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\ell^2 G)$ has finite propagation if there exists an $R > 0$, such that $\text{supp } Au \subset B_R(\text{supp } u)$ for all $u \in \ell^2 G$. Here we denote by $B_R(-)$ the ball of radius R . It is immediate that having finite propagation does not depend on the concrete choice of proper, left-invariant metric on G .

Definition 2.1 (Quasi-local operators, cf. Roe [Roe88, Section 5]). We call an operator $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\ell^2 G)$ quasi-local if there is a function $\mu: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\mu(R) \rightarrow 0$ for $R \rightarrow \infty$ and such that

$$\|Au\|_{G \setminus B_R(\text{supp } u)} \leq \mu(R) \cdot \|u\|$$

for all $u \in \ell^2 G$.

We call such a function μ a dominating function for A . □

Note that any finite propagation operator is quasi-local, and analogously to the finite propagation case being quasi-local does not depend on the choice of proper, left-invariant metric on G .

By the following lemma we conclude that every operator from C_r^*G is quasi-local:

Lemma 2.2 ([Eng14, Lemma 2.26]). *Let $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of quasi-local operators converging in operator norm to an operator A . Then A is also quasi-local.*

Proof. By repeating some of the operators in the sequence A_i we can assume that the propagation of A_i is at most i . Then the dominating function of A can be bounded from above by $\mu_A(i) \leq \|A - A_i\|_{\text{op}}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, which goes to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$ by assumption. □

Attention 2.3. It is tempting to think that quasi-local operators are approximable by finite propagation operators. But it is not known whether this is indeed always the case, cf. the discussion in [Eng15a, Section 6].

To the knowledge of the author, there is only the result of Rabinovich–Roch–Silbermann [RRS98], resp., of Lange–Rabinovich [LR85] that on $\mathbb{R}^n$ every quasi-local operator is approximable by finite propagation operators.

The only other (partial) result that the author knows is his own (from a forthcoming publication) that on spaces of polynomial growth one can approximate operators with a super-polynomially fast decaying dominating function by finite propagation operators. □

Definition 2.4. Let G be a finitely generated group. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a norm on $\mathbb{C}G \subset \mathfrak{B}(\ell^2 G)$ by

$$\|A\|_{\mu,n} := \inf\{D > 0: \mu_A(R) \leq D/R^n \ \forall R > 1\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mu_A(R)$ denotes the smallest possible dominating function for $A \in \mathbb{C}G$, i.e., for every $R > 1$ we have

$$\mu_A(R) = \inf\{C > 0: \|Au\|_{G \setminus B_R(\text{supp } u)} \leq C \cdot \|u\| \text{ for all } u \in \ell^2(G)\}. \quad (2.2)$$

We let C_{pol}^*G be the closure of $\mathbb{C}G$ under the family of norms $(\|-\|_{\text{op}}, \|-\|_{\mu,n}, \|-\|_{\mu,n}^*)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

Remark 2.5. The reason why we restrict us in the above definition to finitely generated groups is because in this case any other choice of proper, left-invariant metric will be quasi-isometric to the previously chosen one. This results in a direct comparison between the norms $\|-\|_{\mu,n}$ for different choices of metrics, and therefore the algebra C_{pol}^*G becomes an invariant of the group G itself. In the general case, i.e., if G is not finitely generated, different choices of proper, left-invariant metrics lead only to coarsely equivalent spaces. If such a coarse equivalence now distorts lengths of elements of G super-polynomially, then it is not clear anymore if the algebra C_{pol}^*G is independent of the choice of metric. $\square$

In order to understand why C_{pol}^*G is an algebra, i.e., why it is closed under composition, we need the following estimate of Roe [Roe88, Proposition 5.2]: if μ_A denotes a dominating function for the operator $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\ell^2 G)$ and μ_B one for $B \in \mathfrak{B}(\ell^2 G)$, then a dominating function for the composition AB is given by

$$\mu_{AB}(R) \leq \|A\|_{\text{op}} \cdot 2\mu_B(R/2) + \mu_A(R/2)(\|B\|_{\text{op}} + 2\mu_B(R/2)). \quad (2.3)$$

Note that in the case of rapidly decreasing functions it is only known that they constitute a convolution algebra if the group has Property (RD), see Jolissaint [Jol90, Lemma 1.2.4].

By induction over (2.3) we can show the estimate

$$\mu_{A^{n+1}}(R) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n 5^k \|A\|_{\text{op}}^n \mu_A(R/2^k) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $R > 1$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following is the main technical result, namely that C_{pol}^*G is closed under holomorphic functional calculus as well as all matrix algebras over it (we call this property “smoothness”). The arguments are analogous to the ones in [Eng15b, Section 2.3], where the corresponding statement was shown for a certain version of C_{pol}^*G on non-compact Riemannian manifolds.

Proposition 2.6. C_{pol}^*G is a dense and smooth Fréchet $*$ -subalgebra² of C_r^*G .

²A Fréchet $*$ -algebra is an algebra with a topology turning it into a Fréchet space with jointly continuous multiplication and such that the $*$ -operation is continuous. Note that we do not require here that the semi-norms used to define the Fréchet topology are sub-multiplicative.

Proof. The only non-trivial point in showing that C_{pol}^*G is a dense Fréchet $*$ -subalgebra of C_r^*G is to show that multiplication is jointly continuous. But this follows from (2.3).

We have to show that C_{pol}^*G is closed under holomorphic functional calculus. From Schweitzer [Sch92, Corollary 2.3] it then follows that all matrix algebras over C_{pol}^*G are also closed under holomorphic functional calculus.

By [Sch92, Lemma 1.2] it suffices to show that C_{pol}^*G is inverse closed, and for this it suffices by [FGBV00, Lemma 3.38] to show the following: there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $A \in C_{\text{pol}}^*G$ with $A \in B_\varepsilon(\text{id})$ implies that A is invertible in C_{pol}^*G . Note that $B_\varepsilon(\text{id}) \subset C_r^*G$ denotes a ball whose radius ε is measured in operator norm, and the goal is to show that $\|A^{-1}\|_{\mu,n} < \infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.³

Note that we will not be able to show exactly the above. We will be able to show that $\|A^{-1}\|_{\mu,n} < \infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but our choice of ε will depend on n . But this is also ok for us, because it shows that $C_{\text{pol},n}^*G$ is closed under holomorphic functional calculus, where $C_{\text{pol},n}^*G$ is the closure of $\mathbb{C}G$ under the norms $(\|-\|_{\text{op}}, \|-\|_{\mu,n}, \|-\|_{\mu,n}^*)$ for a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. These are also Fréchet $*$ -algebras, and our arguments will show that they are closed under holomorphic functional calculus. It follows that the algebra $C_{\text{pol}}^*G = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_{\text{pol},n}^*G$ is also closed under holomorphic functional calculus.

Let $A \in C_{\text{pol}}^*G$ with $A \in B_\varepsilon(\text{id})$ be given (we will fix our choice of $\varepsilon > 0$ later). We write $A = \text{id} - (\text{id} - A)$ and note the estimate $\|\text{id} - A\|_{\text{op}} < \varepsilon$. Hence we can write the inverse as $A^{-1} = (\text{id} - (\text{id} - A))^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\text{id} - A)^n$ provided $\varepsilon < 1$. We start our estimate with

$$\mu_{\sum_{n=0}^N (\text{id} - A)^n}(R) \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \mu_{(\text{id} - A)^n}(R) \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 5^k \|\text{id} - A\|_{\text{op}}^{n-1} \mu_{\text{id} - A}(R/2^k),$$

where we have used (2.4). Note that on the left hand side we can let the sum start at $n = 1$ since $(\text{id} - A)^0 = \text{id}$ has no propagation. Furthermore, we use $\|\text{id} - A\|_{\text{op}} < \varepsilon$ and that, again since id has no propagation, $\mu_{\text{id} - A}(-) = \mu_A(-)$. So we can go on and have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\sum_{n=0}^N (\text{id} - A)^n}(R) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 5^k \varepsilon^{n-1} \mu_A(R/2^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \sum_{n=k+1}^N 5^k \varepsilon^{n-1} \mu_A(R/2^k) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} 5^k \mu_A(R/2^k) \frac{\varepsilon^{k+1}}{1 - \varepsilon} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{(5\varepsilon)^{k+1}}{1 - \varepsilon} \mu_A(R/2^k), \end{aligned}$$

³Note that we actually also have to show that A^{-1} lies in the closure of $\mathbb{C}G$ under the norms $\|-\|_{\mu,n}$. But this will follow from the last estimate that we give in this proof, since it will show that A^{-1} is approximated by $\sum_{k=0}^N (\text{id} - A)^k$ for $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the norms $\|-\|_{\mu,n}$, and these operators all lie in C_{pol}^*G for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

where in the second-to-last inequality we assume $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$. Now we use that we have $\mu_A(R/2^k) \leq \|A\|_{\mu,l} 2^{kl}/R^l$ for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$. We fix now an $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and we fix now $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^l}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\sum_{n=0}^N (\text{id} - A)^n}(R) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{(5\varepsilon)^{k+1}}{1 - \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\|A\|_{\mu,l} 2^{kl}}{R^l} \\ &\leq \frac{\|A\|_{\mu,l}}{R^l(1 - \varepsilon)} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \underbrace{(5\varepsilon)^{k+1} \cdot 2^{kl}}_{\leq (5\varepsilon 2^l)^{k+1}} \\ &\leq \frac{2(1 - (1/2)^{N+1})}{1 - \varepsilon} \cdot \|A\|_{\mu,l} \cdot 1/R^l. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ we therefore conclude that $\|A^{-1}\|_{\mu,l} < \infty$, finishing this proof. $\square$

Remark 2.7. Our above estimate shows that $\sum_{n=0}^N (\text{id} - A)^n$ approximates A^{-1} exponentially fast. Furthermore, if we assume that A has finite propagation, we can conclude $\mu_{A^{-1}}(R) \leq \text{prop } A \sqrt{\varepsilon} \cdot \varepsilon^R$ for $\varepsilon < 1/2$ and $A \in B_\varepsilon(\text{id})$, showing that A^{-1} has an exponentially decaying dominating function. To get this estimate, we use the idea from the proof of Lemma 2.2 combined with the fact that $\sum_{n=0}^N (\text{id} - A)^n$ approximates A^{-1} as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and that we have the estimate $\text{prop} \left(\sum_{n=0}^N (\text{id} - A)^n \right) \leq N \cdot \text{prop}(A)$. $\square$

2.1 Kernel estimates

The following lemma introduces the basic idea how to derive kernel estimates from the norms (2.1).

Lemma 2.8 (cf. [Roe88, Proposition 5.4]). *Let $A = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in C_{\text{pol}}^* G$.*

Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sum_{g \in G \setminus B_R(e)} |a_g|^2 < \|A\|_{\mu,n}^2 / R^{2n},$$

where $B_R(e)$ denotes the ball of radius $R > 1$ around $e \in G$.

Proof. Denote by $\delta_e \in \ell^2 G$ the function with value 1 at $e \in G$. Since A is a quasi-local operator we have $\|A\delta_e\|_{G \setminus B_R(e)}^2 \leq \mu_A(R)^2 \cdot \|\delta_e\|^2$ for a dominating function μ_A of A . But the expression $\|A\delta_e\|_{G \setminus B_R(e)}^2$ is, by definition, $\sum_{g \in G \setminus B_R(e)} |(A\delta_e)(g)|^2$ and $(A\delta_e)(g) = a_g$. Now we use that $\|\delta_e\| = 1$ and that for any given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\mu_A(R) \leq \|A\|_{\mu,n}/R^n$ for all $R > 1$. $\square$

The next is a corollary to Lemma 2.8 and introduces polynomial weights into the derived estimates. Note that the left hand sides of the following estimates are the ones appearing in the definition of the space of rapidly decreasing functions (see, e.g., Jolissaint [Jol89]), i.e., the following corollary proves that our algebra $C_{\text{pol}}^* G$ is continuously contained in this space of rapidly decreasing functions.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $A = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in C_{\text{pol}}^* G$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\sum_{g \in G} d(g, e)^{2n-2} \cdot |a_g|^2 < \|A\|_{\mu, n}^2 \cdot \pi^2/6.$$

Proof. From the inequality in Lemma 2.8 it follows that

$$\sum_{R \in \mathbb{N}} \left(R^{2n-2} \cdot \sum_{g \in G \setminus B_R(e)} |a_g|^2 \right) < \sum_{R \in \mathbb{N}} \|A\|_{\mu, n}^2 / R^2 \leq \|A\|_{\mu, n}^2 \cdot \pi^2/6.$$

Now the left hand side of this estimate is equal to $\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{R=1}^{d(g, e)} R^{2n-2} \cdot |a_g|^2$ and we have

$$\sum_{g \in G} d(g, e)^{2n-2} \cdot |a_g|^2 \leq \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{R=1}^{d(g, e)} R^{2n-2} \cdot |a_g|^2.$$

This shows the claimed inequality. $\square$

2.2 Banach space completions

We let $B_r^p G$ denote the completion of $\mathbb{C}G \subset \mathfrak{B}(\ell^p G)$ in operator norm for any $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Definition 2.1 of quasi-local operators in $\mathfrak{B}(\ell^p G)$, Lemma 2.2, and Definition 2.4 carry over and make sense in this setting. For convenience, let us write down the corresponding definition:

Definition 2.10. Let G be a finitely generated group. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a norm on $\mathbb{C}G \subset \mathfrak{B}(\ell^p G)$ by

$$\|A\|_{p, \mu, n} := \inf\{D > 0: \mu_A^p(R) \leq D/R^n \ \forall R > 1\},$$

where $\mu_A^p(R)$ denotes the smallest possible dominating function for $A \in \mathbb{C}G \subset \mathfrak{B}(\ell^p G)$.

We let $B_{\text{pol}}^p G$ be the closure of $\mathbb{C}G$ under the family of norms $(\| - \|_{p, \text{op}}, \| - \|_{p, \mu, n})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\| - \|_{p, \text{op}}$ denotes the operator norm in $\mathfrak{B}(\ell^p G)$. $\square$

Estimates (2.3) and (2.4) are still good in this ℓ^p -setting, and the proof of Proposition 2.6 also goes through without changes. So we have the following fact for every $p \in [1, \infty]$:

Proposition 2.11. $B_{\text{pol}}^p G$ is a dense and smooth Fréchet subalgebra of $B_r^p G$.

The kernel estimates from Section 2.1 are also still good in the ℓ^p -case:

Lemma 2.12. *Let $A = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in B_{\text{pol}}^p G$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$.*

Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sum_{g \in G \setminus B_R(e)} |a_g|^p < \|A\|_{p, \mu, n}^p / R^{pn}$$

and also

$$\sum_{g \in G} d(g, e)^{pn-2} \cdot |a_g|^p < \|A\|_{p, \mu, n}^p \cdot \pi^2/6.$$

Remark 2.13. There is also the symmetrized version $B_r^{p,*}G$, where we complete $\mathbb{C}G$ simultaneously in the norms $\|-\|_{p,\text{op}}$ and $\|-\|^*_{p,\text{op}}$, where $(\sum a_g g)^* = \sum \bar{a}_g g^{-1}$. Analogously we can also define $B_{\text{pol}}^{p,*}G$ and prove that it is a dense and smooth Fréchet $*$ -subalgebra of $B_r^{p,*}G$.

We have a continuous inclusion $B_r^{p,*}G \rightarrow B_r^p G$, but except for the abelian case $B_r^{p,*}G$ is usually strictly smaller than $B_r^p G$, see Liao–Yu [LY]. In loc. cit. it will be furthermore proven that if G has Banach property $(\text{RD})_q$ for q the dual exponent to $p \neq 1$, then the inclusion $B_r^{p,*}G \rightarrow B_r^p G$ induces isomorphisms on K -theory. The usual Property (RD) implies Banach property $(\text{RD})_q$ for all $q \in (1, 2)$.

The advantage of $B_r^{p,*}G$ over $B_r^p G$ is that due to Banach space interpolation we have a continuous inclusion $B_r^{p,*}G \rightarrow C_r^* G$ and therefore we can try to compare their K -theories. Kasparov–Yu [KY] will prove that if G is amenable or hyperbolic, then this inclusion induces isomorphisms on K -theory. Interpolation also gives us continuous inclusions $B_{\text{pol}}^{p,*}G \rightarrow C_{\text{pol}}^* G$.

Recently Chung [Chu16] showed the L_p Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients in $C(X)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$, if the group acts with finite dynamic asymptotic dimension on the compact Hausdorff space X . This especially implies that the K -theory of the corresponding L_p reduced crossed product is independent of $p \in (1, \infty)$. $\square$

3 Combinatorics of groups and polynomial contractibility

In this section we will firstly discuss the different definitions of higher-order combinatorial functions that we are considering in this paper, and secondly (in Section 3.3) we will discuss combings of groups, how a polynomially bounded combing produces polynomially contractible groups, and why quasi-geodesically combable groups must have contractible asymptotic cones.

Let G be a countable, discrete group. Recall that G is called being of type F_N if it admits a model for its classifying space BG having a finite N -skeleton, and G is called being of type F_∞ if it is of type F_N for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that equivalently we could have said that type F_N means that it admits a model for BG as a simplicial complex with finitely many simplices up to dimension N .

Type F_1 means being finitely generated, and type F_2 means being finitely presented. Note that being of type F_∞ is a quasi-isometry invariant of groups: a proof was given by, e.g., Ji–Ramsey [JR09, Lemma 2.9].

3.1 Higher-order combinatorial functions à la Ji–Ramsey

Let us define the higher-order Dehn functions as Ji–Ramsey [JR09] define them.

Let X be a simplicial complex. For a simplicial N -boundary b we denote by $l_f(b)$ its filling length, i.e., the least number of $(N+1)$ -cells a with $\partial a = b$. We denote the number of cells in b by $|b|$. The N th Dehn function $d^N(-): \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ of X is now defined as

$$d^N(k) := \sup_{|b| \leq k} l_f(b),$$

where the supremum runs over all N -boundaries of X .

For a group G we choose a simplicial model for BG . The higher-order Dehn functions of G are then defined as the higher-order Dehn functions of EG . If G is of type F_{N+1} , then all the higher-order Dehn functions $d^n(-)$ up to $n \leq N$ have finite values and the growth type (e.g., being asymptotically a polynomial of a certain degree) does not depend on the chosen model for BG . All this is proven in [JR09, Section 2].

3.2 Higher-order combinatorial functions à la Riley

Riley [Ril03] uses singular combinatorial complexes to define his higher-order combinatorial functions. So let us recall the definition:

Definition 3.1 (Singular combinatorial complexes). We will first define combinatorial complexes inductively over the dimension: a 0-dimensional combinatorial complex is a set with the discrete topology, each point being called both a closed cell and an open cell.

A continuous map $C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ is called combinatorial if its restriction to each open cell of C_1 is a homeomorphism onto an open cell of C_2 .

An N -dimensional combinatorial complex is a topological space C that can be obtained from a disjoint union U of an $(N-1)$ -dimensional combinatorial complex $C^{(N-1)}$ and a collection $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of closed N -discs in the following way: we suppose the boundaries ∂e_λ have combinatorial structures, i.e., for each e_λ exists an $(N-1)$ -dimensional combinatorial complex S_λ with a homeomorphism $\partial e_\lambda \rightarrow S_\lambda$. We also suppose that there are combinatorial maps $S_\lambda \rightarrow C^{(N-1)}$. Then C is obtained from U by taking the quotient via the attaching maps (equipped with the quotient topology). The open cells of C are defined to be the open cells in $C^{(N-1)}$ and the interiors of the N -discs e_λ . The closed cells of C are the closed cells of the complex $C^{(N-1)}$ together with the N -discs e_λ equipped with their boundary combinatorial structures $\partial e_\lambda \rightarrow S_\lambda$.

To define singular combinatorial complexes we just redefine the maps that are allowed to use in the inductive definition of combinatorial complexes: a continuous map $C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ between singular combinatorial complexes is a singular combinatorial map, if for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ each open N -cell of C_1 is either mapped homeomorphically onto an N -cell of C_2 or collapses. By the latter we mean that it maps into the image of its boundary. $\square$

Let G be of type F_{N+1} . Then we can construct a compact singular combinatorial $(N+1)$ -complex representing $BG^{(N+1)}$. We define the N th-order two-variable minimal combinatorial isoperimetric function by

$$\delta^{(N)}(n, l) := \sup\{\text{FVol}(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Omega_N \text{ with } \text{Vol}_N(\gamma) \leq n \text{ and } \text{Diam}(\gamma) \leq l\}$$

and the N th-order two-variable minimal combinatorial isodiametric function by

$$\eta^{(N)}(n, l) := \sup\{\text{FDiam}(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Omega_N \text{ with } \text{Vol}_N(\gamma) \leq n \text{ and } \text{Diam}(\gamma) \leq l\}.$$

Here Ω_N is the set of singular combinatorial maps $S^N \rightarrow EG^{(N+1)}$, where S^N is given a combinatorial structure (which we do not fix, i.e., for each γ we may choose a different combinatorial structure).

The quantity $\text{Vol}_N(\gamma)$ is the number of N -cells in the combinatorial structure of S^N on which γ is a homeomorphism, and $\text{FVol}_N(\gamma)$ is the minimal number amongst the number of $(N + 1)$ -cells mapped homeomorphically into $EG^{(N+1)}$ of a combinatorial structure of an $(N + 1)$ -disc used to fill γ .

Similarly we define the (filling) diameter of $\gamma \in \Omega_N$: we endow the 1-skeleton of S^N (resp., of D^{N+1} for the filling diameter) with a pseudo-metric by defining each edge that is collapsed to a single vertex under γ to have length 0, and length 1 otherwise.

Example 3.2. Riley [Ril03, Theorem D] proved that if G is a finitely generated group whose asymptotic cones⁴ are all N -connected (for $N \geq 1$), then G is of type F_{N+1} and polynomially contractible up to order N .

Recall that the latter means that both the higher-order two-variable isoperimetric and isodiametric functions are polynomially bounded in their variables.

He went on to show [Ril03, Theorem E] that virtually nilpotent groups have contractible asymptotic cones, which implies by the above that virtually nilpotent groups are of type F_∞ and polynomially contractible. $\square$

Being virtually nilpotent also implies that the higher-order Dehn functions in the sense of Ji–Ramsey are polynomially bounded [JR09, Corollary 2.11]. But it not clear if having contractible asymptotic cones also implies that the higher-order Dehn functions are polynomially bounded (but this would follow if one would show that having polynomially bounded higher-order isoperimetric and isodiametric functions in the sense of Riley is equivalent to having polynomially bounded Dehn functions in the sense of Ji–Ramsey).

3.3 Combing of groups and asymptotic cones

Let G be a group and choose a generating set for it. We denote by $|\cdot - \cdot|$ the distance in the word metric on G derived from this generating set.

A combing of a group G is a mapping

$$\sigma: G \rightarrow \{\text{paths in } G\}, \quad g \mapsto \text{path from } e \text{ to } g$$

(by a path in G we mean a map $\mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow G$ starting at $e \in G$, becoming eventually constant, and traveling with at most unit speed⁵) with the following property:

There must exist a $k > 0$ such that we have $|\sigma(g)(t) - \sigma(h)(t)| \leq k$ if $|g - h| \leq 1$, for all $t \geq 0$. This property is often called “ k -fellow-traveling”.

The above type of combing is called a synchronous combing by Gersten in [Ger95], and is called a bounded combing by Alonso in [Alo92].

Definition 3.3. Demanding additional properties, we arrive at the following definitions:

- In a quasi-geodesically combable group the paths given by the combing σ must be quasi-geodesics (for a fixed choice of quasi-geodesicity constants).

⁴see the next Section 3.3

⁵By this we mean that $|\sigma(g)(t) - \sigma(g)(t + 1)| \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

- An automatic group is one which admits a quasi-geodesic combing σ that constitutes a regular language.
- A polynomially combable groups is one where the lengths of the paths⁶ $\sigma(g)$ are bounded from above by a polynomial in the length of g .

This explains half of the notions in Diagram (1.1). $\square$

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be a finitely generated group, and let G be polynomially combable. Then G is of type F_∞ and polynomially contractible.*

Proof. That G is of type F_∞ follows already just from the existence of a ordinary combing (i.e., no need for it to be polynomial). This was proven by Alonso [Alo92, Theorem 2].

That G must have polynomially bounded higher-order Dehn functions was already noticed by Ji–Ramsey [JR09, End of 2nd paragraph on p. 257].

The idea to show that the higher-order combinatorial functions in the sense of Riley are polynomially bounded is the following: fixing any vertex v_0 in $EG^{(N+1)}$ and given any map $\gamma: S^N \rightarrow EG^{(N+1)}$, we use the combing to produce a contraction of this map onto the chosen vertex v_0 . Due to the k -fellow travelling property and since the combing is polynomially bounded we get a bound on the filling volume and filling diameter of γ depending firstly, polynomially on the volume and diameter of γ , and secondly, polynomially on the distance of γ to v_0 . But since a fundamental domain in $EG^{(N+1)}$ is bounded in diameter, we can always find a translate of v_0 by deck transformations such that the distance of this translate to γ will be uniformly bounded, which finishes the proof of the sought estimate. Note that since we are working here with a fixed vertex v_0 and its translates all the time, it suffices that we are given a polynomial combing, i.e., we do not need a polynomial bi-combing.⁷ $\square$

Let us now define asymptotic cones: fixing a metric space (X, d) , a choice of

- a non-principal ultrafilter ω on $\mathbb{N}$,
- a sequence of basepoints $e = (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X , and
- a sequence of strictly positive scaling factors $s = (s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $s_n \rightarrow \infty$

gives us the asymptotic cone

$$\text{asCone}_\omega(X, e, s) := ((a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X : \omega\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/s_n \cdot d(e_n, a_n) < \infty) / \sim .$$

The equivalence relation is $(a_n) \sim (b_n) \Leftrightarrow \omega\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a_n, b_n)/s_n = 0$ and we define a metric on the asymptotic cone by $\text{dist}((a_n), (b_n)) := \omega\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a_n, b_n)/s_n$.

⁶A length of a path $\sigma(g)$ is the minimum $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sigma(g)(t)$ is constant for all $t \geq k$.

⁷In a bi-combing the map σ must have the k -fellow-travelling property not only for paths starting at the same point $e \in G$, but also for paths starting at neighbouring elements of g . To get from σ paths that do not start at e we regard a path that σ gives as a string of generators producing this path. Then we may apply this string of generators to any other element of the group. There exist groups which are combable but not bi-combable, see Bridson [Bri03].

Note that if the space X is a finitely generated group with a word metric, then different choices of base point sequences lead to isometric asymptotic cones due to the homogeneity of the metric space (see, e.g., Riley [Ril03, Lemma 2.2] for a proof). But the asymptotic cones do depend in general on the choices of non-principal ultrafilter ω and sequence of scaling factors (s_n) .

It is known that the asymptotic cones of hyperbolic groups are $\mathbb{R}$ -trees and therefore contractible (e.g., Gromov [Gro93, §2.B.(b)]), and that CAT(0) groups and co-compact lattices in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ have asymptotic cones which are CAT(0) spaces and therefore are also contractible (Kar [Kar11]). We will generalize the hyperbolic and the CAT(0) case in the next proposition.

The next seems to be a folklore theorem, cf. [BKMM12, Paragraph after Corollary 6.6]. We will sketch a rough proof of it.

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a quasi-geodesically combable group.*

Then the asymptotic cones of G are contractible.

Proof. Quasi-geodesic paths in G give bi-Lipschitz paths in the asymptotic cones (to see this use, e.g., the arguments at the beginning of the proof of [Ril03, Proposition 2.5]). So we can use the combing lines to contract every asymptotic cone to its base point. $\square$

4 Semi-norms on uniformly finite homology

Let us first recall the definition of uniformly finite homology:

Definition 4.1 ([BW92, Section 2]). Let X be a metric space.

$C_i^{\mathrm{uf}}(X)$ denotes the vector space of all infinite formal sums $c = \sum a_{\bar{x}} \bar{x}$ with $\bar{x} \in X^{i+1}$ and $a_{\bar{x}} \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following three conditions (constants depending on c):

1. There exists $K > 0$ such that $|a_{\bar{x}}| \leq K$ for all $\bar{x} \in X^{i+1}$.
2. For all $r > 0$ exists $K_r > 0$ with $\#\{\bar{x} \in B_r(\bar{y}) \mid a_{\bar{x}} \neq 0\} \leq K_r$ for all $\bar{y} \in X^{i+1}$.
3. There is $R > 0$ such that $a_{\bar{x}} = 0$ if $d(\bar{x}, \Delta) > R$; Δ is the multidagonal in X^{i+1} .

The boundary map $\partial: C_i^{\mathrm{uf}}(X) \rightarrow C_{i-1}^{\mathrm{uf}}(X)$ is defined by

$$\partial(x_0, \dots, x_i) = \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j (x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_i)$$

and extended by linearity to all of $C_i^{\mathrm{uf}}(X)$. The resulting homology is the uniformly finite homology $H_*^{\mathrm{uf}}(X)$.

If a group G acts by isometries on the space X , then we may define the equivariant uniformly finite homology $H_*^{\mathrm{uf}, G}(X)$ by considering only equivariant chains. $\square$

Let G be a countable, discrete group. The author proved [Eng16, Proposition 3.8] the isomorphism $H_*(BG) \cong H_*^{\text{uf},G}(G)$. If G is of type F_{N+1} , then we have an isomorphism $H_k(BG^{(N+1)}) \cong H_k^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ for all $0 \leq k \leq N$ given by the following map: given a chain in $BG^{(N+1)}$, we lift it equivariantly to $EG^{(N+1)}$. Then we forget everything from the simplices but their vertices, and finally we map these vertices onto an equivariantly and quasi-isometrically embedded copy of G inside $EG^{(N+1)}$. Note that G and $EG^{(N+1)}$ are in this case (i.e., G being of type F_{N+1}) even quasi-isometric since $EG^{(N+1)}$ is G -finite. Under the isomorphism $H_k(BG^{(N+1)}) \cong H_k(BG)$ for $k \leq N$ the just described map is the isomorphism $H_*(BG) \cong H_*^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ from the beginning of this paragraph.

Definition 4.2. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the following norm of an equivariant uniformly finite chain $c = \sum a_{\bar{g}} \bar{g} \in C_q^{\text{uf},G}(G)$:

$$\|c\|_n := \sum_{\substack{\bar{g} \in G^{q+1}, \\ \bar{g} = (e, \dots)}} |a_{\bar{g}}| \cdot \text{diam}(\bar{g})^n,$$

where $\text{diam}(\bar{g}) := \max_{0 \leq k, l \leq q} d(g_k, g_l)$.

We equip $C_q^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ with the family of norms $(\|-\|_n + \|\partial -\|_n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote its completion to a Fréchet space by $C_q^{\text{pol},G}(G)$ and the resulting homology by $H_*^{\text{pol},G}(G)$. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be of type F_{N+1} and polynomially contractible up to order N .*

Then the map $H_k(BG) \rightarrow H_k^{\text{pol},G}(G)$ is an isomorphism for all $0 \leq k \leq N$.

Proof. Since $H_k(BG^{(N+1)}) \cong H_k(BG)$ for all $0 \leq k \leq N$, we will work in this proof with the complex $K = BG^{(N+1)}$.

Equipping the chain complex $C_*(K)$ with the sup-norm, the map $C_*(K) \rightarrow C_*^{\text{uf},G}(G)$, which induces the isomorphism $H_*(BG) \cong H_*^{\text{uf},G}(G)$, becomes continuous. Our first step will be to construct for all $k \leq N+1$ continuous chain maps $\Delta_k: C_k^{\text{uf},G}(G) \rightarrow C_k(K)$ which will constitute on homology classes for all $k \leq N$ the inverse maps to the maps $H_k(K) \rightarrow H_k^{\text{uf},G}(G)$.

We fix an equivariant quasi-isometry $X \simeq_{\text{QI}} G$, where X is the universal cover of K . Fix $q \leq N+1$. Let $\bar{g} \in G^{q+1}$ with $\bar{g} = (e, \dots)$ be given and regard it as a tuple of points in the complex X . Let us construct in the following a simplicial map $\Delta_{\bar{g}}: \Delta^q \rightarrow X$, where the q -simplex Δ^q consists of at most $P(\text{diam}(\bar{g}))$ simplices (for some polynomial $P(-)$, which is independent of $\bar{g}$) and the vertices of the image of $\Delta_{\bar{g}}$ are exactly $\bar{g}$: since K is N -connected, we can firstly connect the vertices of $\bar{g}$ to each other, secondly fill the loops that we see by discs, thirdly fill the combinatorial 2-spheres that we see by balls, etc., up to the point where we have constructed the whole map $\Delta_{\bar{g}}: \Delta^q \rightarrow X$; note that we are constructing this map by induction on the skeleta of Δ^q .

Let us now estimate the number of simplices that the domain of this map $\Delta_{\bar{g}}$ has by using the higher-order combinatorial functions (we will first discuss the more complicated version of these functions as defined by Riley): after the first step, i.e., after having connected the vertices of $\bar{g}$ to each other, the result is contained in a ball of radius at most $\text{diam}(\bar{g})$ and has at most $\binom{q+1}{2} \cdot \text{diam}(\bar{g})$ -edges. In the second step we are filling

the loops by discs, and therefore the number of non-degenerate discs we have at the end is bounded from above by

$$\binom{q+1}{3} \cdot \delta^{(1)}(3 \cdot \text{diam}(\bar{g}), \text{diam}(\bar{g}))$$

and the whole result is contained in a ball of radius at most

$$\eta^{(1)}(3 \cdot \text{diam}(\bar{g}), \text{diam}(\bar{g})) + \text{diam}(\bar{g}).$$

After the third step, the number of non-degenerate balls we have is bounded by

$$\binom{q+1}{4} \cdot \delta^{(2)}\left(4 \cdot \delta^{(1)}(3 \cdot \text{diam}(\bar{g}), \text{diam}(\bar{g})), \eta^{(1)}(3 \cdot \text{diam}(\bar{g}), \text{diam}(\bar{g})) + \text{diam}(\bar{g})\right)$$

and the result is contained in a ball of radius at most

$$\eta^{(2)}\left(4 \cdot \delta^{(1)}(3 \cdot \text{diam}(\bar{g}), \text{diam}(\bar{g})), \eta^{(1)}(3 \cdot \text{diam}(\bar{g}), \text{diam}(\bar{g})) + \text{diam}(\bar{g})\right) + \text{diam}(\bar{g}).$$

The concrete number of non-degenerate simplices that we get at the end is not important to us. The important part is the following: at the k th step we get estimates which arise by plugging the estimates from the $(k-1)$ st step (slightly modified) into the functions $\delta^{(k)}(-, -)$ and $\eta^{(k)}(-, -)$. Since we assume that all these functions are polynomially bounded and since we start in the first step with just plugging in $\text{diam}(\bar{g})$ into these functions, we conclude that the number of simplices that the domain of the map $\Delta_{\bar{g}}$ has is bounded from above by a polynomial in $\text{diam}(\bar{g})$. The same argumentation also works for the higher-order Dehn functions as defined by Ji–Ramsey (the argument is even easier since we do not have to keep track of the diameters).

The above procedure gives us the claimed map $\Delta_k: C_k^{\text{uf},G}(G) \rightarrow C_k(K)$: for a given chain from $C_k^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ we construct these simplicial maps $\Delta_{\bar{g}}$ into X (where $\bar{g}$ runs over the simplices from the given chain), and we can now push them down to K to get an element of $C_k(K)$. We can do this up to $k = N+1$, and they will be chain maps since we used the inductive procedure to construct them. Because of our above arguments on the number of simplices in the maps $\Delta_{\bar{g}}$ we see that these maps Δ_k will be continuous if we use on $C_k^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ a norm $\| - \|_n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough. Therefore we can extend Δ_k continuously to a map $C_k^{\text{pol},G}(G) \rightarrow C_k(K)$ for all $k \leq N+1$.

The composition $C_k(K) \rightarrow C_k^{\text{pol},G}(G) \xrightarrow{\Delta_k} C_k(K)$ is almost the identity map: the error occurs only because we use the equivariant quasi-isometry $X \simeq_{\text{QI}} G$ in between. But it is chain homotopic to the identity on $C_k(K)$.

The other composition $C_k^{\text{pol},G}(G) \xrightarrow{\Delta_k} C_k(K) \rightarrow C_k^{\text{pol},G}(G)$ does the following: chains in $C_k^{\text{pol},G}(G)$ can contain big simplices (i.e., with a big diameter), but after applying this composition we get a chain which consists only of simplices of edge length 1. If we consider this map on the domain (and with target) $C_k^{\text{uf},G}(G)$, then the composition is chain homotopic to the identity. A similar counting argument as above gives that the chain homotopy is continuous and therefore extends to $C_k^{\text{pol},G}(G)$ to show that the composition in question is chain homotopic to the identity on $C_k^{\text{pol},G}(G)$.

Since chain homotopies map down by one degree, this is the reason why at the end we only get the isomorphism $H_k(K) \rightarrow H_k^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ up to $k \leq N$. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be of type F_∞ and polynomially contractible.*

Then the map $H_*^{\text{uf},G}(G) \rightarrow H_*^{\text{pol},G}(G)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. From Theorem 4.3 it follows that $H_*(BG) \rightarrow H_*^{\text{pol},G}(G)$ is an isomorphism. Now we just combine this with the isomorphism $H_*(BG) \cong H_*^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ that the author proved in [Eng16, Proposition 3.8]. $\square$

5 Character maps for group rings and the main diagram

Let us explain the maps and notation occurring in the main diagram (1.2) of the introduction. For convenience, we have reproduced the diagram here:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
RK_*(BG) & \xrightarrow{\mu^{\text{alg}}} & K_*^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{S}G) & \longrightarrow & K_*(B_r^p G) \\
\downarrow \text{ch}_n & & \downarrow \text{tr} \circ \text{ch}_n^{\mathcal{S}G} & & \downarrow \\
& & HC_n(\mathbb{C}G) & \longrightarrow & HC_n^{\text{cont}}(B_{\text{pol}}^p G) \\
& & \downarrow \chi_n & & \downarrow \text{dotted} \\
H_n(BG) & \longrightarrow & H_n^{\text{uf},G}(G) & \longrightarrow & H_n^{\text{pol},G}(G)
\end{array}$$

The map μ^{alg} is the so-called algebraic Baum–Connes assembly map. If we denote by $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} \mathcal{S}^p(H)$ the Schatten class operators on some fixed, separable, ∞ -dimensional Hilbert space H , then μ^{alg} is defined as the Farrell–Jones assembly map for the ring $\mathcal{S}$. It was first investigated by Yu [Yu17], who showed that it is always rationally injective. See the discussion in [EM16, Section 2] for more information about this. The algebraic Baum–Connes assembly map factors the usual Baum–Connes assembly map.

The map $\text{ch}_n: RK_*(BG) \rightarrow H_n(BG)$ is the usual homological Chern character in degree $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For the homology groups we use complex coefficients (since the map χ_n will map into complex coefficients).

The map $H_n(BG) \rightarrow H_n^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ is the one explained at the beginning of Section 4. By the author’s result [Eng16, Proposition 3.8] it is always an isomorphism. Since the Chern character is known to be rationally an isomorphism (if we map into the direct sum of all degrees $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ at once), the Banach strong Novikov conjecture (for classes of degree n) therefore follows (together with constructing the dotted arrow in the diagram) from showing that the map $H_n^{\text{uf},G}(G) \rightarrow H_n^{\text{pol},G}(G)$, which is induced from completing the corresponding chain complex, is injective. In Corollary 4.4 we even managed to show that $H_n^{\text{uf},G}(G) \rightarrow H_n^{\text{pol},G}(G)$ is bijective for polynomially contractible groups.

Let us discuss now the map $K_*^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{S}G) \rightarrow HC_n(\mathbb{C}G)$. From results of Cortiñas–Thom [CT08, Theorems 6.5.3 & 8.2.5] we conclude that we have $K_*^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{S}G) \cong KH_*(\mathcal{S}G)$ and these groups are 2-periodic (and the periodicity is induced by multiplication with the Bott element). Now since $\mathcal{S}G$ is the directed limit of $\cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^p G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{p+1} G \hookrightarrow \cdots$, since KH -theory commutes with directed limits, and since from the proof of Cortiñas–Tartaglia [CT14, Corollary 3.5] we infer that we have isomorphisms $KH_*(\mathcal{S}^p G) \rightarrow KH_*(\mathcal{S}^{p+1} G)$

for $p \geq 1$, we conclude $KH_*(\mathcal{S}G) \cong KH_*(\mathcal{S}^1G)$. We can use now the Connes–Karoubi character $KH_*(\mathcal{S}^1G) \rightarrow HP_*(\mathcal{S}^1G)$, and the whole composition of all the above together with the map $HP_*(\mathcal{S}^1G) \rightarrow HC_n(\mathcal{S}^1G)$ is denoted by $\text{ch}_n^{\mathcal{S}G}$ in the diagram. Then we can use the trace to get to $HC_n(\mathbb{C}G)$.

Since $B_{\text{pol}}^p G \subset B_r^p G$ is a smooth and dense sub-algebra, we have $K_*(B_{\text{pol}}^p G) \cong K_*(B_r^p G)$. The Connes–Karoubi character gives us the morphism $K_*(B_{\text{pol}}^p G) \rightarrow HC_n^{\text{cont}}(B_{\text{pol}}^p G)$. The map $HC_n(\mathbb{C}G) \rightarrow HC_n^{\text{cont}}(B_{\text{pol}}^p G)$ is induced from the inclusion $\mathbb{C}G \rightarrow B_{\text{pol}}^p G$, and the morphism $K_*^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{S}G) \rightarrow K_*(B_r^p G)$ is induced from passing to the completion of algebras $\mathcal{S}G \cong \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(H) \otimes B_r^p G$ and since $\mathfrak{K}(H) \otimes -$ can be ignored in top. K -theory.

5.1 The character map χ and its continuity

Let us define the map $\chi_n: HC_n(\mathbb{C}G) \rightarrow H_n^{\text{uf},G}(G)$. Let therefore $A_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n \in \mathbb{C}G^{\otimes(n+1)}$ be given and we set $\chi_n(A_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n) \in C_n^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ as

$$\chi_n(A_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n)(g_0, \dots, g_n) := \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}} (-1)^\sigma A_0(g_{\sigma(0)} g_{\sigma(1)}^{-1}) \cdots A_n(g_{\sigma(n)} g_{\sigma(0)}^{-1}).$$

It is straight-forward to verify that the above formula descends to a chain map $\chi_\bullet$ on the cyclic complex $C_\bullet^\lambda(\mathbb{C}G)$ and so induces a map $\chi_n: HC_n(\mathbb{C}G) \rightarrow H_n^{\text{uf},G}(G)$.

In the following proposition we will investigate the continuity of the maps χ_* . Then we may define the map $HC_n^{\text{cont}}(B_{\text{pol}}^p G) \rightarrow H_n^{\text{pol},G}(G)$ by continuous extension. Note that in order for χ_n to descend to $HC_n^{\text{cont}}(B_{\text{pol}}^p G)$ we must have that χ_{n+1} is also continuous (to guarantee compatibility with the boundary operator in the chain complexes).

Proposition 5.1. *The map $\chi_n: \mathbb{C}G^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow C_n^{\text{uf},G}(G)$ is continuous against the topology induced from $B_{\text{pol}}^p G$ for every $p \leq n+1/n$.*

Let G have Property (RD). Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the map χ_n is continuous against the topology coming from $C_{\text{pol}}^* G$.

Proof. Recall Definition 4.2 of the norms we are using on uniformly finite homology. We fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and first note that $\text{diam}(\bar{g})^k \leq C_{k,n} \cdot (d(e, g_1)^k + d(g_1, g_2)^k + \cdots + d(g_n, e)^k)$, where the constant $C_{k,n}$ only depends on $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

So we have to estimate $\sum_{\substack{\bar{g} \in G^{n+1} \\ \bar{g} = (e, \dots)}} |A_0(g_{\sigma(0)} g_{\sigma(1)}^{-1}) \cdots A_n(g_{\sigma(n)} g_{\sigma(0)}^{-1})| \cdot d(g_i, g_{i+1})^k$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ to finish this proof (we write $g_0 = e$ and we have fixed a permutation σ). We have $d(g_i, g_{i+1}) = d(g_{\sigma(s)}, g_{\sigma(t)})$ for certain s and t , and we use now the triangle inequality to write $d(g_{\sigma(s)}, g_{\sigma(t)}) \leq d(g_{\sigma(s)}, g_{\sigma(s+1)}) + d(g_{\sigma(s+1)}, g_{\sigma(s+2)}) + \cdots + d(g_{\sigma(t-1)}, g_{\sigma(t)})$. So we must find an estimate for $\sum_{\substack{\bar{g} \in G^{n+1} \\ \bar{g} = (e, \dots)}} |A_0(g_{\sigma(0)} g_{\sigma(1)}^{-1}) \cdots A_n(g_{\sigma(n)} g_{\sigma(0)}^{-1})| \cdot d(g_{\sigma(s)}, g_{\sigma(s+1)})^k$.

Noting that $d(g_{\sigma(s)}, g_{\sigma(s+1)}) = d(g_{\sigma(s)} g_{\sigma(s+1)}^{-1}, e)$ we can rewrite the expression we have to estimate as the iterated convolution $(|A_{\sigma^{-1}(0)}| * \cdots * |A_s| \cdot d(-, e)^k * \cdots * |A_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}|)(e)$, where $|A_i| = \sum |a_g|g$ if $A_i = \sum a_g g$.

We can estimate the value of this iterated convolution at $e \in G$ by its sup-norm, and this in turn can be estimated by an iterated application of Young's inequality:

$$\| |A_{\sigma^{-1}(0)}| * \cdots * |A_s| \cdot d(-, e)^k * \cdots * |A_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}| \|_\infty \leq \prod_{\substack{i=0, \dots, n \\ i \neq s}} \| |A_i| \|_{p'} \cdot \| |A_s| \cdot d(-, e)^k \|_{p'},$$

where $p' = n + 1/n$. Therefore, if the operators $A_0, \dots, A_n$ are all from $B_{\text{pol}}^p G$ for a $p \leq p'$ we can finish the proof of the first claim of the proposition by applying Lemma 2.12.

Let G now have Property (RD). By definition this means that we have a continuous inclusion of the space $H^\infty G$ of rapidly decreasing functions into $C_r^* G$. By Corollary 2.9 we have a continuous inclusion of $C_{\text{pol}}^* G$ into $H^\infty G$. Now we also note that on $H^\infty G$ the operation of taking the absolute value of the coefficients of a function is an isometry (but note that it is not a linear operation). So we have a chain of continuous maps

$$C_{\text{pol}}^* G \rightarrow H^\infty G \xrightarrow{|\cdot|} H^\infty G \rightarrow C_r^* G. \quad (5.1)$$

We want to estimate $(|A_{\sigma^{-1}(0)}| * \dots * |A_s| \cdot d(-, e)^k * \dots * |A_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}|)(e)$. This is at most the ℓ^2 -norm of this iterated convolution, and this can be now estimated by

$$\prod_{\substack{i=0, \dots, n \\ i \neq s, \sigma^{-1}(n)}} \| |A_i| \|_{\text{op}} \cdot \| |A_s| \cdot d(-, e)^k \|_{\text{op}} \cdot \| |A_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}| \|_2.$$

By (5.1) the operator norm of $|A_i|$ is bounded by certain $C_{\text{pol}}^* G$ -norms of A_i , and the same holds for the operator norm of $|A_s| \cdot d(-, e)^k$, and for the ℓ^2 -norm of $|A_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}|$. This finishes the second claim of the proposition. $\square$

References

- [Alo92] J. M. Alonso, *Combings of Groups, Algorithms and Classification in Combinatorial Group Theory* (G. Baumslag and C. F. Miller III, eds.), Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. 23, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [BH93] B. Brink and R. B. Howlett, *A finiteness property and an automatic structure for Coxeter groups*, Math. Ann. **296** (1993), 179–190.
- [BKMM12] J. Behrstock, B. Kleiner, Y. Minsky, and L. Mosher, *Geometry and rigidity of mapping class groups*, Geom. Topol. **16** (2012), 781–888.
- [Bri03] M. R. Bridson, *Combings of groups and the grammar of reparameterization*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **78** (2003), 752–771.
- [Bur85] D. Burghilea, *The cyclic homology of the group rings*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **60** (1985), 354–365.
- [BW92] J. Block and S. Weinberger, *Aperiodic Tilings, Positive Scalar Curvature, and Amenability of Spaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), no. 4, 907–918.
- [Cha92] R. Charney, *Artin groups of finite type are biautomatic*, Math. Ann. **292** (1992), 671–683.
- [Cha16] I. Chatterji, *Introduction to the rapid decay property*, arXiv:1604.06387, 2016.

- [Chu16] Y. Ch. Chung, *Dynamic asymptotic dimension and K -theory of Banach crossed product algebras*, arXiv:math/1611.09000, 2016.
- [CM90] A. Connes and H. Moscovici, *Cyclic Cohomology, the Novikov Conjecture and Hyperbolic Groups*, *Topology* **29** (1990), no. 3, 345–388.
- [CT08] G. Cortiñas and A. Thom, *Comparison between algebraic and topological K -theory of locally convex algebras*, *Adv. Math.* **218** (2008), no. 1, 266–307.
- [CT14] G. Cortiñas and G. Tartaglia, *Operator ideals and assembly maps in K -theory*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **142** (2014), no. 4, 1089–1099.
- [ECH⁺92] D. Epstein, J. Cannon, D. Holt, S. Levy, M. Paterson, and W. Thurston, *Word Processing in Groups*, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, 1992.
- [EM16] A. Engel and M. Marcinkowski, *Burghelea conjecture and asymptotic dimension of groups*, arXiv:math/1610.10076, 2016.
- [Eng14] A. Engel, *Indices of pseudodifferential operators on open manifolds*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Augsburg, 2014, arXiv:1410.8030.
- [Eng15a] ———, *Index theory of uniform pseudodifferential operators*, available at arXiv:1502.00494, 2015.
- [Eng15b] ———, *Rough index theory on spaces of polynomial growth and contractibility*, arXiv:1505.03988, 2015.
- [Eng16] ———, *Wrong way maps in uniformly finite homology and homology of groups*, arXiv:math/1602.03374, 2016.
- [FGBV00] H. Figueroa, J. M. Gracia-Bondía, and J. C. Várilly, *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts, Birkhäuser, 2000.
- [Ger95] S. M. Gersten, *Finiteness Properties of Asynchronously Automatic Groups*, *Geometric Group Theory* (R. Charney, M. W. Davis, and M. Shapiro, eds.), Walter de Gruyter, 1995.
- [Gro93] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, *Geometric group theory* (G. A. Niblo and M. A. Roller, eds.), vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, 1993, *Proc. Symp. Sussex Univ.*, Brighton, July 14–19, 1991, pp. 1–295.
- [Ham09] U. Hamenstädt, *Geometry of the mapping class group II: A biautomatic structure*, arXiv:math/0912.0137, 2009.
- [Jol89] P. Jolissaint, *K -Theory of Reduced C^* -Algebras and Rapidly Decreasing Functions on Groups*, *K -Theory* **2** (1989), 723–735.
- [Jol90] ———, *Rapidly decreasing functions in reduced C^* -algebras of groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **317** (1990), no. 1, 167–196.

- [JR07] R. Ji and B. Ramsey, *Cyclic Cohomology for Discrete Groups and its Applications*, Advances in Mathematics and Its Applications (Y. Li et al., eds.), USTC research book, China Press, 2007.
- [JR09] ———, *The isocohomological property, higher Dehn functions, and relatively hyperbolic groups*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009), 255–280.
- [JŚ06] T. Januszkiewicz and J. Świątkowski, *Simplicial Nonpositive Curvature*, Publ. Math. de l’I.H.É.S. **104** (2006), 1–85.
- [Kar11] A. Kar, *Asymptotically CAT(0) Groups*, Publ. Mat. **55** (2011), 67–91.
- [KY] G. Kasparov and G. Yu, *The Baum–Connes conjecture and group actions on ℓ^p spaces*, In preparation.
- [LR85] B. V. Lange and V. S. Rabinovich, *Noether Property for Multidimensional Discrete Convolution Operators*, Mat. zametki **37** (1985), no. 3, 407–421, (translated from Russian).
- [LR06] W. Lück and H. Reich, *Detecting K-Theory by Cyclic Homology*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **93** (2006), no. 3, 593–634.
- [LY] B. Liao and G. Yu, *K-theory of group Banach algebras and Banach property (RD)*, In preparation.
- [Mey06] R. Meyer, *Combable groups have group cohomology of polynomial growth*, Q. J. Math. **57** (2006), no. 2, 241–261.
- [Mos95] L. Mosher, *Mapping Class Groups are Automatic*, Ann. Math. **142** (1995), no. 2, 303–384.
- [Ogl05] C. Ogle, *Polynomially bounded cohomology and discrete groups*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **195** (2005), 173–209.
- [Ril03] T.R. Riley, *Higher connectedness of asymptotic cones*, Topology **42** (2003), 1289–1352.
- [Roe88] J. Roe, *An Index Theorem on Open Manifolds, I*, J. Differential Geom. **27** (1988), 87–113.
- [RRS98] V. S. Rabinovich, S. Roch, and B. Silbermann, *Fredholm Theory and Finite Section Method for Band-Dominated Operators*, Integr. equ. oper. theory **30** (1998), 452–495.
- [Sap15] M. Sapir, *The rapid decay property and centroids in groups*, J. Topol. Anal. **07** (2015), no. 03, 513–541.
- [Sch92] L. B. Schweitzer, *A short proof that $M_n(A)$ is local if A is local and Fréchet*, Int. J. Math. (1992), 581–589.

- [STY02] G Skandalis, J.L. Tu, and G. Yu, *The coarse Baum–Connes conjecture and groupoids*, *Topology* **41** (2002), 807–834.
- [Yu00] G. Yu, *The coarse Baum–Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space*, *Invent. math.* **139** (2000), no. 1, 201–240.
- [Yu17] ———, *The Novikov conjecture for algebraic K-theory of the group algebra over the ring of Schatten class operators*, *Adv. Math.* **307** (2017), 727–753.